

Optimal Design and ρ -Concavity

Christian Ewerhart

University of Zurich

August 5, 2009

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.
- Important: Contribution of a given type (in the reduced problem)

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.
- Important: Contribution of a given type (in the reduced problem)
 - For instance, *virtual valuation* $x - (1 - F(x))/f(x)$

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.
- Important: Contribution of a given type (in the reduced problem)
 - For instance, *virtual valuation* $x - (1 - F(x))/f(x)$
 - Often useful if contribution is increasing (*Myerson regularity*)

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.
- Important: Contribution of a given type (in the reduced problem)
 - For instance, *virtual valuation* $x - (1 - F(x))/f(x)$
 - Often useful if contribution is increasing (*Myerson regularity*)
 - E.g., maximum principle, more intuitive solutions, rationalization of bidding data, empirical specification

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.
- Important: Contribution of a given type (in the reduced problem)
 - For instance, *virtual valuation* $x - (1 - F(x))/f(x)$
 - Often useful if contribution is increasing (*Myerson regularity*)
 - E.g., maximum principle, more intuitive solutions, rationalization of bidding data, empirical specification
- Sufficient condition: *hazard rate* $f(x)/(1 - F(x))$ increasing

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.
- Important: Contribution of a given type (in the reduced problem)
 - For instance, *virtual valuation* $x - (1 - F(x))/f(x)$
 - Often useful if contribution is increasing (*Myerson regularity*)
 - E.g., maximum principle, more intuitive solutions, rationalization of bidding data, empirical specification
- Sufficient condition: *hazard rate* $f(x)/(1 - F(x))$ increasing
 - interpretation: conditional density of failure

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.
- Important: Contribution of a given type (in the reduced problem)
 - For instance, *virtual valuation* $x - (1 - F(x))/f(x)$
 - Often useful if contribution is increasing (*Myerson regularity*)
 - E.g., maximum principle, more intuitive solutions, rationalization of bidding data, empirical specification
- Sufficient condition: *hazard rate* $f(x)/(1 - F(x))$ increasing
 - interpretation: conditional density of failure
 - allows log-concavity condition on density

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.
- Important: Contribution of a given type (in the reduced problem)
 - For instance, *virtual valuation* $x - (1 - F(x))/f(x)$
 - Often useful if contribution is increasing (*Myerson regularity*)
 - E.g., maximum principle, more intuitive solutions, rationalization of bidding data, empirical specification
- Sufficient condition: *hazard rate* $f(x)/(1 - F(x))$ increasing
 - interpretation: conditional density of failure
 - allows log-concavity condition on density
 - yet more restrictive than necessary

Introduction

- This paper makes a technical contribution to the theory of optimal design
 - Numerous applications: Income taxation, retail customer screening, regulatory policy, optimal reserve prices, multi-unit auctions, negotiations, bilateral trade, etc.
- Important: Contribution of a given type (in the reduced problem)
 - For instance, *virtual valuation* $x - (1 - F(x))/f(x)$
 - Often useful if contribution is increasing (*Myerson regularity*)
 - E.g., maximum principle, more intuitive solutions, rationalization of bidding data, empirical specification
- Sufficient condition: *hazard rate* $f(x)/(1 - F(x))$ increasing
 - interpretation: conditional density of failure
 - allows log-concavity condition on density
 - yet more restrictive than necessary
- Objective: Find a tight criterion for regularity

Notation

- Consider a random variable ξ , the *type*, that takes on values in a nonempty open interval $X_\xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability one.

Notation

- Consider a random variable ξ , the *type*, that takes on values in a nonempty open interval $X_\xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability one.
- Let the cumulative *distribution function* $F_\xi(x) = \text{prob}[\xi \leq x]$ be defined on $\text{cl}\{X_\xi\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$.

Notation

- Consider a random variable ξ , the *type*, that takes on values in a nonempty open interval $X_\xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability one.
- Let the cumulative *distribution function* $F_\xi(x) = \text{prob}[\xi \leq x]$ be defined on $\text{cl}\{X_\xi\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$.
- A real-valued, nonnegative function f , integrable w.r.t. to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , is a probability *density function* for ξ if

$$F_\xi(x) = \int_{X(x, \xi)} f(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}$$

for any $x \in X_\xi$, where $X(x, \xi) = X_\xi \cap (-\infty; x]$.

Notation

- Consider a random variable ξ , the *type*, that takes on values in a nonempty open interval $X_\xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability one.
- Let the cumulative *distribution function* $F_\xi(x) = \text{prob}[\xi \leq x]$ be defined on $\text{cl}\{X_\xi\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$.
- A real-valued, nonnegative function f , integrable w.r.t. to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , is a probability *density function* for ξ if

$$F_\xi(x) = \int_{X(x, \xi)} f(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}$$

for any $x \in X_\xi$, where $X(x, \xi) = X_\xi \cap (-\infty; x]$.

- The *reliability function* \bar{F}_ξ associated with ξ is defined on $\text{cl}\{X_\xi\}$ through $\bar{F}_\xi = 1 - F_\xi$.

Notation

- Consider a random variable ξ , the *type*, that takes on values in a nonempty open interval $X_\xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability one.
- Let the cumulative *distribution function* $F_\xi(x) = \text{prob}[\xi \leq x]$ be defined on $cl\{X_\xi\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$.
- A real-valued, nonnegative function f , integrable w.r.t. to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , is a probability *density function* for ξ if

$$F_\xi(x) = \int_{X(x, \xi)} f(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}$$

for any $x \in X_\xi$, where $X(x, \xi) = X_\xi \cap (-\infty; x]$.

- The *reliability function* \bar{F}_ξ associated with ξ is defined on $cl\{X_\xi\}$ through $\bar{F}_\xi = 1 - F_\xi$.
- Write $f > 0$ if $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X_\xi$.

Notation

- Consider a random variable ξ , the *type*, that takes on values in a nonempty open interval $X_\xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability one.
- Let the cumulative *distribution function* $F_\xi(x) = \text{prob}[\xi \leq x]$ be defined on $cl\{X_\xi\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$.
- A real-valued, nonnegative function f , integrable w.r.t. to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , is a probability *density function* for ξ if

$$F_\xi(x) = \int_{X(x, \xi)} f(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}$$

for any $x \in X_\xi$, where $X(x, \xi) = X_\xi \cap (-\infty; x]$.

- The *reliability function* \bar{F}_ξ associated with ξ is defined on $cl\{X_\xi\}$ through $\bar{F}_\xi = 1 - F_\xi$.
- Write $f > 0$ if $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X_\xi$.
- If $f > 0$ define *virtual valuation*: $J_{\xi, f}(x) = x - \bar{F}_\xi(x)/f(x)$ on X_ξ (reference to ξ will be dropped).

Minimalistic assumptions

- Note: Differentiability of the density may be a restrictive assumption

Minimalistic assumptions

- Note: Differentiability of the density may be a restrictive assumption
 - E.g. in non-parametric modeling, in models with endogenous type distributions, when mixing over distribution supports.

Minimalistic assumptions

- Note: Differentiability of the density may be a restrictive assumption
 - E.g. in non-parametric modeling, in models with endogenous type distributions, when mixing over distribution supports.
- Two assumptions:

Minimalistic assumptions

- Note: Differentiability of the density may be a restrictive assumption
 - E.g. in non-parametric modeling, in models with endogenous type distributions, when mixing over distribution supports.
- Two assumptions:
 - **Condition (Z):** At any $x \in X$, the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y + \varepsilon)$ exists and $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y - \varepsilon) \leq g(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y + \varepsilon)$.

Minimalistic assumptions

- Note: Differentiability of the density may be a restrictive assumption
 - E.g. in non-parametric modeling, in models with endogenous type distributions, when mixing over distribution supports.
- Two assumptions:
 - **Condition (Z):** At any $x \in X$, the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y + \varepsilon)$ exists and $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y - \varepsilon) \leq g(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y + \varepsilon)$.
 - Intuition: Virtual valuation depends also on density, which is defined only a.e.

Minimalistic assumptions

- Note: Differentiability of the density may be a restrictive assumption
 - E.g. in non-parametric modeling, in models with endogenous type distributions, when mixing over distribution supports.
- Two assumptions:
 - **Condition (Z):** At any $x \in X$, the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y + \varepsilon)$ exists and $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y - \varepsilon) \leq g(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y + \varepsilon)$.
 - Intuition: Virtual valuation depends also on density, which is defined only a.e.
 - **Condition (CL).** *There is a countable set $S \subset X$ such that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (f(y + \varepsilon) - f(y))/\varepsilon > -\infty$ for all $x \in X \setminus S$.*

Minimalistic assumptions

- Note: Differentiability of the density may be a restrictive assumption
 - E.g. in non-parametric modeling, in models with endogenous type distributions, when mixing over distribution supports.
- Two assumptions:
 - **Condition (Z):** At any $x \in X$, the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y + \varepsilon)$ exists and $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y - \varepsilon) \leq g(y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f(y + \varepsilon)$.
 - Intuition: Virtual valuation depends also on density, which is defined only a.e.
 - **Condition (CL).** *There is a countable set $S \subset X$ such that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (f(y + \varepsilon) - f(y))/\varepsilon > -\infty$ for all $x \in X \setminus S$.*
 - Intuition: (Right-hand upper Dini) derivative of the density needs to be sufficiently informative.

Key result

- From the following result, everything else said later follows more or less immediately.

- From the following result, everything else said later follows more or less immediately.
- **Proposition 1.** *Consider an arbitrary random variable ξ that takes on values in an open interval $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability one, and let $f > 0$ be a density function for ξ . Then $\bar{F}(x) > 0$ on X . Moreover, provided that both (Z) and (CL) are satisfied, J_f is nondecreasing if and only if $1/\bar{F}$ is convex on X .*

- From the following result, everything else said later follows more or less immediately.
- **Proposition 1.** *Consider an arbitrary random variable ξ that takes on values in an open interval $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability one, and let $f > 0$ be a density function for ξ . Then $\bar{F}(x) > 0$ on X . Moreover, provided that both (Z) and (CL) are satisfied, J_f is nondecreasing if and only if $1/\bar{F}$ is convex on X .*
- Proof technical due to minimalistic assumptions.

- From the following result, everything else said later follows more or less immediately.
- **Proposition 1.** *Consider an arbitrary random variable ξ that takes on values in an open interval $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with probability one, and let $f > 0$ be a density function for ξ . Then $\bar{F}(x) > 0$ on X . Moreover, provided that both (Z) and (CL) are satisfied, J_f is nondecreasing if and only if $1/\bar{F}$ is convex on X .*
- Proof technical due to minimalistic assumptions.
- Two useful implications.

- A statistical interpretation of regularity can be given

Interpretation

- A statistical interpretation of regularity can be given
- Consider $1/(1 - F(x))$

Interpretation

- A statistical interpretation of regularity can be given
- Consider $1/(1 - F(x))$
- This is the conditional probability density that a given machine, functional up to time x , is *the next* to break down.

Interpretation

- A statistical interpretation of regularity can be given
- Consider $1/(1 - F(x))$
- This is the conditional probability density that a given machine, functional up to time x , is *the next* to break down.
- The rate by which this density increases over time is the “zoom rate” $f(x)/(1 - F(x))^2$.

Interpretation

- A statistical interpretation of regularity can be given
- Consider $1/(1 - F(x))$
- This is the conditional probability density that a given machine, functional up to time x , is *the next* to break down.
- The rate by which this density increases over time is the “zoom rate” $f(x)/(1 - F(x))^2$.
- Increasing zoom rate = new criterion.

Condition on density

- It is often useful to have a criterion for regularity that can be applied directly to the density function.

Condition on density

- It is often useful to have a criterion for regularity that can be applied directly to the density function.
 - For instance, the density may be given in explicit form, while the cumulative distribution function is an intractable integral.

Condition on density

- It is often useful to have a criterion for regularity that can be applied directly to the density function.
 - For instance, the density may be given in explicit form, while the cumulative distribution function is an intractable integral.
- To derive a sufficient condition on the density function, apply the Prékopa-Borell Theorem to mirror image of \bar{F} .

Condition on density

- It is often useful to have a criterion for regularity that can be applied directly to the density function.
 - For instance, the density may be given in explicit form, while the cumulative distribution function is an intractable integral.
- To derive a sufficient condition on the density function, apply the Prékopa-Borell Theorem to mirror image of \bar{F} .
- Luckily, the smoothness conditions disappear.

Condition on density

- It is often useful to have a criterion for regularity that can be applied directly to the density function.
 - For instance, the density may be given in explicit form, while the cumulative distribution function is an intractable integral.
- To derive a sufficient condition on the density function, apply the Prékopa-Borell Theorem to mirror image of \bar{F} .
- Luckily, the smoothness conditions disappear.
- **Proposition 2.** *Consider a random variable ξ with a cumulative distribution function F that allows a density function $f > 0$. Then J_f is nondecreasing if $1/\sqrt{f}$ is convex.*

Condition on density

- It is often useful to have a criterion for regularity that can be applied directly to the density function.
 - For instance, the density may be given in explicit form, while the cumulative distribution function is an intractable integral.
- To derive a sufficient condition on the density function, apply the Prékopa-Borell Theorem to mirror image of \bar{F} .
- Luckily, the smoothness conditions disappear.
- **Proposition 2.** *Consider a random variable ζ with a cumulative distribution function F that allows a density function $f > 0$. Then J_f is nondecreasing if $1/\sqrt{f}$ is convex.*
- If f is smooth, then the condition is equivalent to $(\ln f)'' / ((\ln f)')^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ on an open and dense subset where $f' \neq 0$

Condition on density

- It is often useful to have a criterion for regularity that can be applied directly to the density function.
 - For instance, the density may be given in explicit form, while the cumulative distribution function is an intractable integral.
- To derive a sufficient condition on the density function, apply the Prékopa-Borell Theorem to mirror image of \bar{F} .
- Luckily, the smoothness conditions disappear.
- **Proposition 2.** *Consider a random variable ξ with a cumulative distribution function F that allows a density function $f > 0$. Then J_f is nondecreasing if $1/\sqrt{f}$ is convex.*
- If f is smooth, then the condition is equivalent to $(\ln f)'' / ((\ln f)')^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ on an open and dense subset where $f' \neq 0$
- Sharper than logconcavity of f

Condition on density

- It is often useful to have a criterion for regularity that can be applied directly to the density function.
 - For instance, the density may be given in explicit form, while the cumulative distribution function is an intractable integral.
- To derive a sufficient condition on the density function, apply the Prékopa-Borell Theorem to mirror image of \bar{F} .
- Luckily, the smoothness conditions disappear.
- **Proposition 2.** *Consider a random variable ξ with a cumulative distribution function F that allows a density function $f > 0$. Then J_f is nondecreasing if $1/\sqrt{f}$ is convex.*
- If f is smooth, then the condition is equivalent to $(\ln f)'' / ((\ln f)')^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ on an open and dense subset where $f' \neq 0$
- Sharper than logconcavity of f
- Tightest possible within ρ -concavity

The theory allows numerous extensions:

- Virtual costs

The theory allows numerous extensions:

- Virtual costs
- Maximizing weighted sum of welfare and profit

The theory allows numerous extensions:

- Virtual costs
- Maximizing weighted sum of welfare and profit
- Strict monotonicity

The theory allows numerous extensions:

- Virtual costs
- Maximizing weighted sum of welfare and profit
- Strict monotonicity
- Nonlinear valuations

The theory allows numerous extensions:

- Virtual costs
- Maximizing weighted sum of welfare and profit
- Strict monotonicity
- Nonlinear valuations
- Multidimensional types

The theory allows numerous extensions:

- Virtual costs
- Maximizing weighted sum of welfare and profit
- Strict monotonicity
- Nonlinear valuations
- Multidimensional types
- Harmonic mixtures of reliability functions

The theory allows numerous extensions:

- Virtual costs
- Maximizing weighted sum of welfare and profit
- Strict monotonicity
- Nonlinear valuations
- Multidimensional types
- Harmonic mixtures of reliability functions
- Density functions with jumps

- **Logconcave density (without parameter restrictions):**
uniform, normal, exponential, logistic, extreme value, Laplace, Maxwell, Rayleigh

Regular distributions: new examples

- **Logconcave density (without parameter restrictions):** uniform, normal, exponential, logistic, extreme value, Laplace, Maxwell, Rayleigh
- **Logconcave density (with parameter restrictions):** power function, Weibull, Gamma, Chi-Squared, Chi, beta

Regular distributions: new examples

- **Logconcave density (without parameter restrictions):** uniform, normal, exponential, logistic, extreme value, Laplace, Maxwell, Rayleigh
- **Logconcave density (with parameter restrictions):** power function, Weibull, Gamma, Chi-Squared, Chi, beta
- **New examples (this study, typically with parameter restrictions):** log-normal, Pareto, log-logistic, Student's t, Cauchy, F, beta prime, mirror-image Pareto, inverse gamma, inverse chi-squared, Pearson family

Regular distributions: new examples

- **Logconcave density (without parameter restrictions):** uniform, normal, exponential, logistic, extreme value, Laplace, Maxwell, Rayleigh
- **Logconcave density (with parameter restrictions):** power function, Weibull, Gamma, Chi-Squared, Chi, beta
- **New examples (this study, typically with parameter restrictions):** log-normal, Pareto, log-logistic, Student's t, Cauchy, F, beta prime, mirror-image Pareto, inverse gamma, inverse chi-squared, Pearson family
- **Also in the paper:** Discussion of regularity checks in cases where criteria have no bite

Table I. Distributions *with* $(-\frac{1}{2})$ -concave density functions.[†]

Name of distribution	Interval X	P.d.f. $f(x)$	C.d.f. $F(x)$	Concavity ρ of p.d.f.
<i>Any with log-concave density</i>	– see Bagnoli and Bergström (2006, Table 1) –			≥ 0
Pareto ($\beta \geq 1$)	$(1; \infty)$	$\beta x^{-\beta-1}$	$1 - x^{-\beta}$	$-\frac{1}{\beta+1}$
Log-normal ($\sigma_L^2 \leq 2$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\propto \frac{1}{x} \exp(-\frac{(\ln x - \mu_L)^2}{2\sigma_L^2})$	*	$-\frac{\sigma_L^2}{4}$
Student's t ($n \geq 1$)	\mathbb{R}	$\propto (1 + \frac{x^2}{n})^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$	*	$-\frac{1}{n+1}$
Cauchy	\mathbb{R}	$\frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\arctan x}{\pi}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$
F distr. ($m_1, m_2 \geq 2$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\propto \frac{x^{\frac{m_1}{2}-1}}{(m_1 x + m_2)^{\frac{m_1+m_2}{2}}}$	*	$-\frac{2}{m_2+2}$
Mirror-im. of Pareto ($\beta \geq 1$)	$(-\infty; -1)$	$\beta(-x)^{-\beta-1}$	$(-x)^{-\beta}$	$-\frac{1}{\beta+1}$

†) The symbol \propto indicates that the density function, for fixed parameters, is proportional to the term given in the table; for cumulative distribution functions marked with *, there is no closed-form representation.

Table I. (continued) Distributions *with* $(-\frac{1}{2})$ -concave density functions.

Name of distribution	Interval X	P.d.f. $f(x)$	C.d.f. $F(x)$	Concavity ρ of p.d.f.
Log-logistic ($\beta \geq 1$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{\beta x^{\beta-1}}{(1+x^\beta)^2}$	$\frac{1}{1+x^{-\beta}}$	$-\frac{1}{\beta+1}$
Inverse gamma ($\alpha \geq 1$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{\exp(-1/x)}{\Gamma(\alpha)x^{\alpha+1}}$	*	$-\frac{1}{\alpha+1}$
Inverse chi-squared ($\nu \geq 2$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{x^{-(\nu/2)-1}}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} \exp(-\frac{1}{2x})$	*	$-\frac{2}{\nu+2}$
Beta prime ($\alpha, \beta \geq 1$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1+x)^{-\alpha-\beta}}{B(\alpha, \beta)}$	*	$-\frac{1}{\beta+1}$
Pearson ($b_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$)		– see discussion in the text –		$-b_2$

Table II. Distributions *without* $(-\frac{1}{2})$ -concave density functions.

Name of distribution	Interval X	P.d.f. $f(x)$	C.d.f. $F(x)$	Values J'_f	Costs \overline{J}_f
Power ($c < 1$)	$(0; 1)$	cx^{c-1}	x^c	$\not\leq 0$	> 0
Weibull ($c < 1$)	$(0; \infty)$	$cx^{c-1} \exp(-x^c)$	$1 - \exp(-x^c)$	$\not\leq 0$	> 0
Gamma ($c < 1$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{x^{c-1} \exp(-x)}{\Gamma(c)}$	*	$\not\leq 0$	> 0
Chi-Squared ($c < 2$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{x^{(c-2)/2} \exp(-x/2)}{2^{c/2} \Gamma(c/2)}$	*	$\not\leq 0$	> 0
Chi ($c < 1$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{x^{c-1} \exp(-x^2/2)}{2^{(c-2)/2} \Gamma(c/2)}$	*	$\not\leq 0$	> 0
Beta ($\nu < 1$ or $\omega < 1$)	$(0; 1)$	$\propto x^{\nu-1} (1-x)^{\omega-1}$	*	$\not\leq 0$	$\not\leq 0$
Arc-Sine	$(0; 1)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi x(1-x)}}$	$\frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin(x)$	$\not\leq 0$	$\not\leq 0$

‡) The symbol \propto indicates that the density function, for fixed parameters, is proportional to the term given in the table; for cumulative distribution functions marked with *, there is no closed-form representation; the abbreviation “num.” indicates that the result has been obtained through a numerical analysis.

Table II. (continued) Distributions *without* $(-\frac{1}{2})$ -concave density functions.

Name of distribution	Interval X	P.d.f. $f(x)$	C.d.f. $F(x)$	Values J'_f	Costs \overline{J}_f
Pareto ($\beta < 1$)	$(1; \infty)$	$\beta x^{-\beta-1}$	$1 - x^{-\beta}$	< 0	> 0
Log-normal ($\sigma_L^2 > 2$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\propto \frac{1}{x} \exp(-\frac{(\ln x - \mu_L)^2}{2\sigma_L^2})$	*	mixed (num.)	> 0
Student's t ($n < 1$)	\mathbb{R}	$\propto (1 + \frac{x^2}{n})^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$	*	$\not\leq 0$ (num.)	$\not\leq 0$ (num.)
F distr. ($m_1 < 2$ or $m_2 < 2$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\propto \frac{x^{\frac{m_1}{2}-1}}{(m_1 x + m_2)^{\frac{m_1+m_2}{2}}}$	*	mixed (num.)	> 0
Mirror-im. of Pareto ($\beta < 1$)	$(-\infty; -1)$	$\beta(-x)^{-\beta-1}$	$(-x)^{-\beta}$	> 0	< 0
Log-logistic ($\beta < 1$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{\beta x^{\beta-1}}{(1+x^\beta)^2}$	$\frac{1}{1+x^{-\beta}}$	< 0	> 0
Inverse gamma ($\alpha < 1$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{\exp(-1/x)}{\Gamma(\alpha)x^{\alpha+1}}$	*	$\not\leq 0$ (num.)	> 0

Table II. (continued) Distributions *without* $(-\frac{1}{2})$ -concave density functions.

Name of distribution	Interval X	P.d.f. $f(x)$	C.d.f. $F(x)$	Values J'_f	Costs \overline{J}_f
Inverse chi-squared ($\nu < 2$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{x^{-(\nu/2)-1}}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} \exp(-\frac{1}{2x})$	*	$\not\geq 0$ (num.)	> 0
Beta prime ($\alpha, \beta < 1$)	$(0; \infty)$	$\frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1+x)^{-\alpha-\beta}}{B(\alpha, \beta)}$	*	mixed (num.)	> 0
Pearson ($b_2 > \frac{1}{2}$)	– see discussion in the text –			mixed (num.)	mixed (num.)

Conclusion

- Search for a tight condition for Myerson regularity

Conclusion

- Search for a tight condition for Myerson regularity
- Found: “increasing zoom rate”

Conclusion

- Search for a tight condition for Myerson regularity
- Found: “increasing zoom rate”
- Essentially necessary and sufficient

Conclusion

- Search for a tight condition for Myerson regularity
- Found: “increasing zoom rate”
- Essentially necessary and sufficient
- Allows a statistical interpretation

Conclusion

- Search for a tight condition for Myerson regularity
- Found: “increasing zoom rate”
- Essentially necessary and sufficient
- Allows a statistical interpretation
- Also a sufficient condition on densities (square root criterion), convenient, tight within ρ -concavity

Conclusion

- Search for a tight condition for Myerson regularity
- Found: “increasing zoom rate”
- Essentially necessary and sufficient
- Allows a statistical interpretation
- Also a sufficient condition on densities (square root criterion), convenient, tight within ρ -concavity
- Extensions (e.g., for multidimensional types)

Conclusion

- Search for a tight condition for Myerson regularity
- Found: “increasing zoom rate”
- Essentially necessary and sufficient
- Allows a statistical interpretation
- Also a sufficient condition on densities (square root criterion), convenient, tight within ρ -concavity
- Extensions (e.g., for multidimensional types)
- New examples of regular distributions

Conclusion

- Search for a tight condition for Myerson regularity
- Found: “increasing zoom rate”
- Essentially necessary and sufficient
- Allows a statistical interpretation
- Also a sufficient condition on densities (square root criterion), convenient, tight within ρ -concavity
- Extensions (e.g., for multidimensional types)
- New examples of regular distributions
- Implications for both empirical work and theory